Interview Summary

(1) ELLEN S. WOOD.

reached, or any other comments:

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522.744 AKIYAMA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

	ELLEN S. WOOD	1794		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				

(3)Carol Chanev.

(2) Steve Catlin.	(4)
Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>proposed amendments</u> .	e) <u></u> No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-14</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>yes</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

> /Ellen S Wood/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.